DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 472 954 SE 067 198

AUTHOR	Popejoy, Kate
TITLE	The Impact of Technology on Teaching and Learning in an Elementary Science Classroom.
PUB DATE	2003-01-31
NOTE	10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association for the Education of Teachers of Science (St. Louis, MO, January 30-February 2, 2003).
PUB TYPE	Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE	EDRS Price MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS
DESCRIPTORS	*Academic Achievement; *Educational Technology; Elementary Education; Inquiry; *Learning; *Science Instruction; Teaching Methods
IDENTIFIERS	National Science Education Standards

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to examine the following questions: a) what practices, if any, develop in the elementary science classroom to nurture development of technology as an imaginative extension of the learning environment; (b) how does an elementary teacher's practice change as technology is integrated into her/his science curriculum? How do the student' practices change? How do these changes impact each other; and (c) what are "powerful uses" of technology that may emerge in the elementary science classroom? What structures need to be in place to support their development? In order to answer these questions, the author of this study spent sustained time in a 4th/5th grade classroom as a participant researcher. Data gathered included pre- and post- surveys of the teacher and students, audiotaped interviews with the students and teacher, focused observation by the author, and selective audio- and videotaping of events in the classroom. It was found that students and teacher benefited from their use of the computers in various ways, and the teacher continues to develop effective methods of technology integration in her classroom. (Contains 16 references.) (Author)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

The Impact of Technology on Teaching and Learning in an Elementary Science Classroom

Kate Popejoy
University of British Columbia

and

Western Washington University
Woodring College of Education
Office of Research and Evaluation
Bellingham, WA 98225-9090

Kate.Popejoy@wwu.edu

ED 472 954

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

K. Popejoy

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

A paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association for the Education of Teachers of Science, January 29-February 2, 2003, St. Louis, MO

SE067198

2 BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Program Description

A research project which explores the complexities and intricacies of integrating computer technology with science instruction in an intermediate classroom will be described.

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to examine the following questions: a) what practices, if any, develop in the elementary science classroom to nurture development of technology as an imaginative extension of the learning environment; b) how does an elementary teacher's practice change as technology is integrated into her/his science curriculum? How do the students' practices change? How do these changes impact each other; and c) what are 'powerful uses' of technology that may emerge in the elementary science classroom? What structures need to be in place to support their development?

In order to answer these questions, the author of this study spent sustained time in a $4^{th}/5^{th}$ grade classroom as a participant researcher. Data gathered included pre- and post-surveys of the teacher and students, audiotaped interviews with the students and teacher, focused observation by the author, and selective audio- and videotaping of events in the classroom. It was found that students and teacher benefited from their use of the computers in various ways, and the teacher continues to develop effective methods of technology integration in her classroom.

Introduction

Instructional technology has the capacity to enhance teaching and learning in the classroom. Through technology, the classroom community can explore the world outside the school, communicate with other students, correspond with scientists and researchers, collect and interpret real data about real phenomena, share findings, etc. In short, computers can be used in support of inquiry in science teaching, when inquiry is defined as the opportunity to "find solutions to real problems by asking and refining questions, designing and conducting investigations, gathering and analyzing data, making interpretations, drawing conclusions, and reporting findings" (Krajcik, Blumenfeld, Marx, & Soloway, 2000).

The ubiquity of computers in our culture and economy places increasing pressure on our schools to 'produce' students who are familiar with their use. While computer skills are recognized as beneficial for future workers (ISTE, 2000), that is only one reason computers are being placed in classrooms. Instructional technology, including, but not limited to, computers, can enhance children's learning and augment teachers' practice. Though issues of equal access to technology are crucial, how we use computers that have been placed in the classroom is also of critical importance. Educators need to concentrate on learning how to use technology in context; matching hardware and software combinations to the needs and abilities of learners and to the instructional objectives (Kent & McNergney, 1999).

Research Questions

This study was created in the form of a qualitative case study in which I took on the role of a participant researcher/observer in an intermediate classroom. My focus was on the practices which emerged as teacher and students interacted with technology as they explored the science topics of astronomy and space exploration. My specific research questions were:

1. What practices, if any, develop in the elementary science classroom to nurture development of technology as an imaginative extension of the learning environment?
2. How does an elementary teacher's practice change as technology is integrated into her/his science curriculum? How do the students' practices change? How do these changes impact each other?
3. What are 'powerful uses' of technology that may emerge in the elementary science classroom? What structures need to be in place to support their development?

In essence, these questions allowed me to closely observe what occurred in the classroom; to examine how the students and teacher interacted with the technology, with each other, with the science topic, and, to some extent, with me. Then, the task was to identify any ways their practices changed over time. To some extent, I hoped to actually see these changes over the course of my time in the classroom, but I also asked the teacher and students to reflect on their use of technology, within the topic of science, but also in other curricular areas. In addition, I attempted to identify any utilization of technology which had a pronounced effect on the teaching and learning that happened in the classroom. This required that I be able to judge what occurred in this area, both as an impartial observer, but also as a community member. If these 'powerful uses' emerged, then the hope was that any structures of the classroom that helped to develop them would be apparent.

Research Setting

Bayside Elementary, in a coastal community of a Pacific Northwest state, is a school of approximately 250 students in grades K through 5, with approximately 25 staff. The population is predominantly white and of medium socioeconomic status (25% of students receive free or reduced lunch). The surrounding neighborhood is very established, with many current students being descendants of Bayside alumni.

The classroom in which I did my research is a $4^{th}/5^{th}$ grade multiage environment. For many years, Bayside intentionally maintained multiage classrooms. Recently, that practice ended in the face of parent opposition. However, this year the student numbers were such that this arrangement was necessary. The classroom teacher, Belinda Knudson[1], was always quite comfortable in the multiage setting, and was satisfied with this arrangement. Six of the 24 students were 4^{th} graders who were chosen on the basis of their anticipated ability to mesh with the 5^{th} graders academically and socially. The class was treated as a

[1] All place names and people are confidential and protected by pseudonyms.

whole, with the only exception being the infrequent departure of the 4th graders to study state history in another 4th grade classroom.

I chose this classroom and teacher for my research for three reasons. First, I am familiar with Bayside personally as my son was previously a student there. Second, I am familiar with Belinda Knudson professionally as I have worked at Bayside in my capacity as an intern supervisor from the local regional university. Last year when I was looking for a place to do science education research, I immediately thought of Belinda, as she is the only intermediate teacher at Bayside who consistently teaches science. Third, Belinda Knudson is a district-funded participant in the local Gates Grant, which means that she has eight high-speed Internet computers in her classroom. However, as she is a district-funded participant, she has received only equipment and very little training. This has frustrated Belinda, who told me last year that she was comfortable with the technology, but that she felt she and her students were not benefiting as much as they could from it. So, as I believed that I could provide some insight and experience with the technology for her, and her classroom would be a good match for my research goals, we decided to embark on this project together.

Theoretical Approach

Community of Practice

I approached this research partially as an exploration of a community of practice. Wenger (1998) defined a community of practice as "collective learning (that) results in practices that reflect both the pursuit of our enterprise and the attendant social relations...a kind of community created over time by the sustained pursuit of a shared enterprise" (p.45). Wenger (1998) also makes a case for the social nature of learning, even though "our institutions...are largely based on the assumption that learning is an individual process" (p.3). The classroom community is one which is defined by the participants working together as they develop a shared repertoire. This community "includes routines, words, tools, ways of doing things, stories, gestures, symbols...actions, or concepts that the community has produced or adopted in the course of its existence, and which have become part of its practice" (Wenger, 1998, p.83).

Complexity Theory

Classrooms can also be viewed through the lens of complexity theory. Waldrop (1992) examines a wide range of questions, both sociological and scientific, using the concepts of complexity. The Bayside classroom fits Waldrop's description of a complex system in that:

- a great many independent agents are interacting with each other in a great many ways;
- groups of agents are seeking mutual accommodation and self-consistency and somehow manage to transcend themselves, acquiring collective properties...of thought and purpose that they might never have possessed individually; and

- it is adaptive, i.e., the community doesn't passively respond to events, but rather turns them to its advantage.

Waldrop states that these complex systems have acquired the ability to bring order and chaos into a special kind of balance. The successful and dynamic classroom exists on the edge of order and chaos. If a room is too ordered, neat, planned, and predictable, independent learning and creativity can be stifled. On the other hand, a chaotic and completely unpredictable classroom doesn't allow much to be accomplished at all.

Morowitz (2002) focuses on the idea of emergence as crucial to the study of complex systems. It is the emergent properties of a complex system which cannot be predicted from the individual properties of the system, but are properties of the whole as a result of the interaction of the parts. In his book, Morowitz (2002) provides numerous examples of emergence in the natural world, but also explores human social phenomena such as language and urbanization. Emergence, attempting to generate properties of the whole by understanding its parts, is defined as the opposite of reduction, which is the attempt move from the whole to its parts. Reductionism has been the historical perspective of science, but the more recent framework of examining the world through the lens of complexity science and emergent properties can aid in our understanding of a wide range of phenomena.

Research Methods

For this research, a qualitative case study format was followed. I spent two to three full days per week in the classroom over a period of four months. A case study design is employed to gain an in-depth understanding of a situation and meaning for those involved. As Merriam (1998) states "the interest is in process rather than outcomes, in context rather than a specific variable, in discovery rather than confirmation" (p.19). Usually, case studies are the best strategy when "how" research questions such as mine are being explored. Also, I as the investigator had minimal control over what happened in the classroom, and wished to investigate a contemporary phenomenon in its real-life context where the boundaries between the phenomenon and the classroom context are not always clear (Yin, 1994).

However, I did take on the role of participant researcher. The classic definition of the participant researcher is that of the investigator engaging in the social context of the phenomenon being studied, but being only partially involved so that s/he can function as an observer as well (Merriam, 1998). The method of participant observation has deep roots in anthropology. DeWalt & DeWalt (2002) define it as "a method in which a researcher takes part in the daily activities, rituals, interactions, and events of a group of people as one means of learning the explicit and tacit aspects of their life routines and culture" (p.1). Participant observation can enhance the quality of data obtained as the researcher is involved in all of the day to day functions of the community, and is familiar with all participants and common occurrences. This can also aid in data interpretation, whether the data are obtained through participant observation, or by other methods. Being a participant

researcher also has an advantage in that it allows the researcher to formulate new research questions grounded in 'on the spot' events.

Technology in Support of Science in the Elementary Classroom

The *National Science Education Standards* (NRC, 1996), the *National Educational Technology Standards for Students* (ISTE, 2000), and the *AAAS Benchmarks for Science Literacy* (1993) all encourage the use of technology in the classroom, especially in support of scientific inquiry. Technology fosters an active environment where students not only solve problems, but also can find their own science problems to explore. Technology has the capacity to create new opportunities for authentic instruction by bringing real-world problems into the classroom for students to scientifically investigate; providing scaffolding and tools to enhance learning; giving students and teachers more opportunities for feedback, reflection, and revision; building local and global communities; and expanding opportunities for teacher learning (NRC, 2000).

Although inquiry can be done in the classroom without the help of technology, learning technologies extend the range of questions that can be explored, the kinds of information that can be collected, the variety of data representations that can be displayed, and the products that students can create to demonstrate their learning. Technology tools allow students to collect information about their questions via the World Wide Web, make graphs and tables as a way of interpreting and displaying data, and to communicate their findings to an audience, perhaps through multimedia presentations (Krajcik et al., 2000).

Technology should give a significant educational advantage, be affordable, networked, and portable. For successful implementation, curriculum goals and materials, assessment policies, and teacher development must shift as well. It is not the equipment in the classroom, but how the equipment is used that can make a difference in student understanding (Wiske, 2000).

The role of the teacher is crucial in the implementation of technology in the classroom, as well as in the continued maintenance of inquiry-driven science instruction. It is the teacher "who can set the stage in the classroom for students to engage the ideas of others and thereby fosters the kind of thoughtful, reflective discussion that characterizes learning" (Feldman, Konold, & Coulter, 2000, p.17). However, "there is a conspicuous lack of attention to the complexities and intricacies of how classroom teachers actually integrate technology in their teaching" (Zhao, Pugh, Sheldon, & Byers, 2002, p.483). This is why, in addition to examining the impact on student learning in the elementary science classroom, I have chosen to place equal emphasis on the teacher as she worked to use the computers in her classroom to their fullest potential.

Significance

It can be difficult to evaluate the impact of technology in schools. The school itself is an imperfect research environment with many confounding variables. Implementation varies

from class to class, teacher to teacher, and school to school; hence the case study structure for this research. Also, very few tests measure gains which can be made through technology use, such as "sophisticated problem solving, writing, collaborative learning, global awareness, independence and efficacy, engagement and motivation, as well as in students' specific technology skills" (Herman, 1994, p.150).

Innovative technology is more likely to be integrated into classroom instruction if the teacher is highly reflective about his/her teaching practice and is able to consciously use the technology in a way that is consistent with his/her pedagogical beliefs (Zhao et al., 2002). For this project a series of interviews was conducted with the classroom teacher in order to explore her beliefs and to encourage her to reflect upon her pedagogical approach and technology's role in her instruction. One of the stated goals of this project was to view technology as a means to an end, rather than an end in itself, so that technology was used in support of the science instruction, rather than technology being the primary focus. Zhao et al. (2002) also found that classroom implementation of technology was more effective in this type of environment.

Results

In this research, I gathered: a) copious field notes from focused observation over a substantial period; b) written pre- and post-surveys about technology use and comfort for both teacher and students; c) audiotaped and transcribed interviews with teacher and students; and d) selective digital photos and video of events in the classroom. I am in the preliminary stages of evaluating the large amount of data acquired, but a few findings stand out now.

All students were quite comfortable using the classroom computers for research, publishing, data analysis, communication, and presentations. Most had been working with computers at school for many years, and all but one student had a computer at home. Students reported using computers for a wide range of activities at home, such as homework, e-mail, and games. In the classroom, we found that it was not imperative that each student have access to his/her own computer at all times. In fact, most students reported that they worked better in pairs or trios when using the computer, which was confirmed by my observations. This classroom had eight computers, and students could go a short distance to the library for more if needed.

The classroom teacher, a ten-year veteran, expanded her repertoire of teaching practices due to the broadening of her experience with the computers. She reported an increase in her use of technology in teaching in all areas of the curriculum. She also stated many times that she would continue this growth pattern as she was more aware of available resources than she was before this study. However, she also stated that my presence in the classroom and our dialogue about technology and instruction encouraged her to broaden her approach. Without my continued visits to the classroom, it is not clear what level of technology use will be maintained.

The curricular area, astronomy, was one well-suited for the use of computers in instruction. The school library, though well-equipped, had only four books on the topic, two of which were about the astronaut, Sally Ride. Obviously, the students were able to find far more information on the internet than they did in books. Students also said in interviews that they were more satisfied with using the computers for research as they could find data more easily using searches. Astronomy is a topic which is growing and changing on a daily basis. Using computers allowed the students to find current information, often from the primary source. Though we also did available hands-on lessons such as solar system modeling and moon observation, there are not that many good inquiry lessons available in the area of astronomy for children of this age. The incredible array of data available through computers made this topic feasible for students of intermediate age.

Reflective Conclusion

This experience has been an educational success as rated by all participants. However, that in itself is not surprising. The teacher, Belinda, was already an effective teacher, and was comfortable with both the science curriculum and technology. Belinda would also be considered as a constructivist teacher, though she would not label herself as such. She stated more than once that she wished to thoroughly explore a topic rather than merely 'cover' it, i.e., she is not an 'inch deep, mile wide' sort of teacher. This allowed her students to take the time to do research on the computers and to become thoroughly familiar with their topics. Belinda develops a classroom that is already quite student directed, an ideal environment for good use of technology. We often think of inquiry as being a hands-on experience in science, but in this case it has been shown that hands-on with computers can also be considered as inquiry.

References

American Association for the Advancement of Science, Project 2061 (1993). *Benchmarks for science literacy.* New York: Oxford University Press.

DeWalt, K.M., & DeWalt, B.R. (2002). *Participant observation: A guide for fieldworkers.* Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press.

Feldman, A., Konold, C., & Coulter, B. (2000). *Network science, a decade later: The Internet and classroom learning.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Herman, J.L. (1994). Evaluating the effects of technology in school reform. In B. Means (Ed.), *Technology and education reform: The reality behind the promise* (pp. 133-167). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

International Society for Technology in Education (2000).. *National educational technology standards for students: Connecting curriculum and technology.* Eugene, OR: Author.

Kent, T.W., & McNergney, R.F. (1999). *Will technology really change education: From Blackboard to Web.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Krajcik, J., Blumenfeld, P., Marx, R., & Soloway, E. (2000). Instructional, curricular, and technological supports for inquiry in science classrooms. In J. Minstrell & E.H. van Zee (Eds.), *Inquiring into inquiry learning and teaching in science* (pp. 283-315). Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Merriam, S.B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Morowitz, H.J. (2002). *The emergence of everything: How the world became complex.* New York: Oxford University Press.

National Research Council (1996). *National science education standards.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Waldrop, M.M. (1992). *Complexity: The emerging science at the edge of order and chaos.* New York: Simon & Schuster.

Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity.* New York: Cambridge University Press.

Wiske, S. (2000). A new culture of teaching for the 21st century. In D. T. Gordon (Ed.), *The digital classroom: How technology is changing the way we teach and learn* (pp. 70-82). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Letter.

Yin, R.K. (1994). *Case study research: Design and methods* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Zhao, Y., Pugh, K., Sheldon, S., and Byers, J.L. (2002). Conditions for classroom technology innovation. *Teachers College Record*, 104(3), 482-515.

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: The Impact of Technology on Teaching and Learning in an Elementary Science Classroom

Author(s): Kate Popejoy

Corporate Source:
N/A

Publication Date:
1/31/03

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____

_____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1

X

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____

_____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A

X

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____

_____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B

X

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: Kate Popejoy	Printed Name/Position/Title: Kate Popejoy	
Organization/Address: Western Washington University, 516 High St, Bellingham, WA 98225-9090	Telephone: (360) 650-7608	FAX: (360)650-6472
	E-Mail Address: Kate.Popejoy@wwu.edu	Date: 2/10/03

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: info@ericfac.piccard.csc.com
WWW: http://ericfacility.org